Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/645,522	KIL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	TAMMY THANH NGUYEN	2444
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>TAMMY THANH NGUYEN</u> .	(3) <u>Hae- Chan Park reg 50,114)</u> .	
(2)	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 February 2010</u>	Time: <u>3:00pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claim 1		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Negotiate was given by examiner with an applicant's represental language in order to place the application in the condition for all	ative, the applicant's representative	
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a writ record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
/TAMMY THANH NGUYEN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2444	Applicant/Applicant's Representa	ative Signature – if appropriate)
(TI TIPE TO THE TOTAL THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TOTAL TO THE	-6